Organic Farming as a Mitigation Strategy Against Climate Change: A Critical Review

Adamaagashi Izuchukwu
A Graduate of Enugu State University of Science and Technology
Corresponding author: adamaizuchukwu@gmail.com

Mohammed Toufik Osman
Department of Agricultural and Food Economics
University for Development Studies
osmantoufiq@outlook.com

Mohammed Mukadas Musah
Department of Agricultural and Food Economics
University for Development Studies
Musahmohammedmukadas@gmail.com
DOI: 10.56201/ijgem.v10.no9.2024.pg25.41

Abstract

This study provides a comprehensive analysis of organic farming as a climate change mitigation strategy, focusing on its environmental, economic, and ecological implications. Organic farming, which significantly reduces or eliminates the use of synthetic inputs such as chemical fertilizers and pesticides, presents numerous advantages in addressing global environmental challenges. By enhancing soil health, increasing biodiversity, and promoting sustainable land-use practices, organic systems offer substantial potential for reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, particularly nitrous oxide (N_2O) and carbon dioxide (CO_2). Furthermore, organic farming contributes to long-term soil carbon sequestration, improves water retention, and supports critical ecosystem services such as pollination and pest control. However, this approach also faces challenges, including lower crop yields, economic viability, and difficulties in scaling to meet global food security demands. The study underscores the need for integrated approaches that blend organic and conventional practices, coupled with strong policy frameworks and financial incentives, to promote widespread adoption and maximize both agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability.

1. Introduction

Climate change remains an existential threat, with wide-ranging implications for biodiversity, food security, and human livelihoods. As global temperatures continue to rise, the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events, such as droughts, floods, and storms, are expected to increase, placing additional stress on already vulnerable ecosystems and communities (IPCC, 2021). These environmental shifts also pose severe challenges to agricultural production, particularly in regions already facing food insecurity. Agriculture is both a contributor to and a victim of climate change. On the one hand, it is responsible for a significant portion of global GHG emissions, accounting for nearly 20% of total emissions due to the release of carbon dioxide (CO_2), methane (CH_4), and nitrous oxide (N_2O) from livestock, rice paddies, and synthetic fertilizers (Smith et al., 2014). On the other hand, climate change threatens agricultural productivity through altered precipitation patterns, shifting growing seasons, and the spread of pests and diseases (Porter et al., 2014). Addressing these challenges requires an urgent shift toward more sustainable and resilient agricultural practices. Organic farming, with its focus on ecological balance and soil health, offers a viable solution for mitigating the adverse effects of climate change. Organic systems rely on crop rotations, green manures, and composting to improve soil structure, increase biodiversity, and enhance nutrient cycling (Mäder et al., 2002). These practices can reduce the need for external inputs, such as synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, which are associated with high GHG emissions during their production and use (Niggli et al., 2008). Moreover, organic farming has been shown to enhance soil carbon sequestration, a process in which organic matter is stored in soils, helping to reduce atmospheric CO_2 levels and mitigate global warming (Lal, 2004).

The Codex Alimentarius Commission (FAO/WHO) characterizes organic farming as an integrative and holistic approach to food production management, fundamentally oriented towards enhancing the health of agro-ecosystems. This is achieved through fostering biodiversity, optimizing biological cycles, and stimulating soil biological activity. The system is predicated on prioritizing management strategies that are adapted to regional conditions over the use of external, off-farm inputs. Such strategies involve the employment of agronomic, biological, and mechanical methods to achieve specific functional objectives within the system, as opposed to the utilization of synthetic materials. Organic farming transcends mere agricultural practice; it represents a systemic, all-encompassing paradigm aimed at promoting sustainable livelihoods. This approach incorporates physical, economic, and socio-cultural dimensions crucial for sustainable development and reducing vulnerability to external shocks (Eyhorn, 2007). Given its long-standing history and adaptation to a wide range of climatic zones and regional conditions, a vast repository of context-specific knowledge on organic farming has emerged. According to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), the agricultural sector is a substantial contributor to global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, accounting for approximately 10–12% of total anthropogenic emissions annually, or roughly 5.1–6.1 gigatons of CO_2-equivalent. Notably, this estimate only encompasses direct emissions from agricultural activities, thereby excluding indirect emissions generated from the production of agricultural inputs such as synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, pesticides, and fossil fuels consumed by agricultural machinery and irrigation systems (IPCC, 2007).

Climate, being one of the principal determinants of agricultural productivity, plays a pivotal role in shaping the performance of agricultural systems. Alterations in climate patterns have the potential to introduce significant variability into agricultural output, as shifts in temperature and precipitation regimes can influence crop viability and yields. Furthermore, changes in climate conditions can lead to shifts in the distribution of plant diseases and pest populations, which in turn may exacerbate the challenges facing agricultural production systems. Despite these adversities, agriculture has historically demonstrated a high degree of adaptability to a range of climatic conditions (Mendelson et al., 2001). It is imperative to underscore that the mere cessation of synthetic input use does not, in itself, suffice to qualify a farming practice as organic. For an agricultural system to be genuinely organic, it must be accompanied by a robust design and management framework that safeguards natural resources from degradation, ensuring sustainability over time. Additionally, organic farming can play a critical role in climate change adaptation. By improving soil fertility and water retention, organic farming systems are better able to withstand droughts and other extreme weather events (Gattinger et al., 2012). The emphasis on crop diversity and agroecological practices also enhances the resilience of agricultural systems, making them more adaptable to changing climate conditions (Altieri et al., 2015). As climate change continues to disrupt global food systems, the adoption of organic farming practices represents a promising strategy for ensuring food security while simultaneously addressing the environmental impacts of agriculture. This paper critically reviews the role of organic farming as a mitigation strategy against climate change, focusing on its potential benefits, limitations, and broader socio-economic and environmental implications. Through an analysis of existing literature, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how organic farming can contribute to climate change mitigation and identify key areas for further research and policy intervention.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Agriculture

Agricultural practices, particularly those prevalent in conventional systems, are key contributors to greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, significantly impacting climate change. Livestock operations are a primary source of methane (CH_4), a potent GHG, due to enteric fermentation, a natural digestive process in ruminants that releases methane into the atmosphere (Smith et al., 2014). This methane is a critical concern because it has a global warming potential approximately 25 times greater than CO_2 over a 100-year period (Forster et al., 2007). In addition to methane, nitrous oxide (N_2O) is another significant GHG emitted from conventional agricultural practices. This emission primarily arises from the application of synthetic fertilizers, which leads to microbial processes in the soil that convert nitrogen into N_2O. These processes are exacerbated by factors such as soil moisture and temperature, making nitrous oxide emissions a persistent issue in conventional farming (IPCC, 2007; Bouwman et al., 2002). N_2O has a global warming potential approximately 298 times that of CO_2, making its mitigation critical for climate change strategies (Forster et al., 2007). Carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions from agriculture are also substantial, primarily resulting from land-use changes, such as deforestation for crop and pasture expansion. These activities not only release CO_2 stored in biomass and soils but also reduce the land's capacity to act as a carbon sink (Schlesinger, 2009). Furthermore, the use of fossil fuels for agricultural machinery and irrigation contributes additional CO_2 emissions, compounding the sector's overall impact on the climate (Powlson et al., 2011). Addressing these emissions requires a multi-faceted approach, including the adoption of more sustainable agricultural practices that can reduce the reliance on synthetic inputs and improve the efficiency of resource use (Smith et al., 2014). Enhancing soil management, promoting alternative fertilizer sources, and integrating climate-smart agricultural practices are essential strategies for mitigating the GHG emissions associated with conventional agriculture.

Conversely, organic farming systems are designed to mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions through a variety of practices that promote ecological balance and enhance soil health. By avoiding synthetic inputs and focusing on natural processes, organic farming reduces the environmental impact of agricultural practices. Key techniques employed in organic farming include crop rotation, composting, and cover cropping, each of which contributes to lower GHG emissions in different ways. Crop rotation involves alternating the types of crops grown on a particular piece of land, which helps to disrupt pest and disease cycles, improve soil structure, and enhance nutrient cycling. This practice reduces the need for synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, which are associated with higher GHG emissions (Drinkwater et al., 1998). By fostering a more diverse and balanced soil ecosystem, crop rotation can also enhance soil carbon sequestration, a process that captures CO_2 from the atmosphere and stores it in the soil (Poulton et al., 2010). Composting is another crucial practice in organic farming. Organic compost, made from decomposed plant material and animal manure, is used to enrich the soil with essential nutrients. Unlike synthetic fertilizers, which can lead to rapid microbial conversion of nitrogen into nitrous oxide (N_2O), composted materials release nitrogen more gradually. This slower release reduces the likelihood of N_2O emissions, which are a significant contributor to global warming (Gattinger et al., 2012). The use of compost also improves soil structure and water retention, further enhancing soil health and reducing the need for additional inputs. Cover cropping involves planting crops specifically to cover and protect the soil between main cropping seasons. Cover crops, such as legumes, grasses, or brassicas, prevent soil erosion, suppress weeds, and contribute organic matter to the soil. This practice improves soil fertility and carbon storage, and reduces the reliance on synthetic fertilizers (Blanco-Canqui & Ruis, 2018). By integrating cover crops into the farming system, organic farmers can help to build a more resilient soil structure, which supports better water management and mitigates the impacts of extreme weather conditions.

While organic farming offers several benefits in reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, methane emissions from organic livestock systems continue to pose challenges. Methane, primarily produced through enteric fermentation during digestion in ruminant animals, remains a significant concern despite the adoption of organic practices (Mendelsohn et al., 2001). Organic livestock systems, which avoid synthetic inputs and emphasize pasture-based diets, do not completely eliminate methane production. Ruminants, including cattle and sheep, naturally produce methane as a byproduct of their digestive processes, regardless of the type of feed or farming system (Hristov et al., 2013). Thus, while organic systems may improve other aspects of sustainability, the inherent methane emissions from livestock are an ongoing issue. Additionally, organic farming systems often result in lower overall energy consumption compared to conventional systems. This reduction is primarily due to the decreased need for synthetic inputs, such as pesticides and fertilizers, which require substantial energy for production and application (Reganold & Wachter, 2016). Synthetic fertilizers and pesticides not only entail significant energy costs but also contribute to higher GHG emissions through their production and usage. By eliminating these inputs, organic farming reduces the associated energy demands and, consequently, the CO_2 emissions related to their production (Mäder et al., 2002). The lower energy use in organic farming helps mitigate the carbon footprint of agricultural operations, aligning with broader goals of reducing climate change impacts. Moreover, the energy savings in organic farming extend beyond reduced reliance on synthetic chemicals. Organic practices, such as crop rotation, cover cropping, and composting, contribute to more efficient use of natural resources and reduce the need for external energy inputs. These practices enhance soil health and fertility, potentially leading to more resilient agricultural systems that are better equipped to handle environmental stresses without the need for high-energy interventions (Gattinger et al., 2012).

2.2 Soil Health and Carbon Sequestration

Soil health is crucial for both enhancing agricultural productivity and mitigating climate change impacts. Healthy soils contribute significantly to agricultural yields by improving nutrient availability, water retention, and overall crop health. Additionally, they play a pivotal role in sequestering carbon dioxide (CO_2), which is essential for reducing atmospheric CO_2 levels and combating global warming (Lal, 2004). Organic farming practices are particularly effective in fostering soil health and enhancing carbon sequestration. The application of organic fertilizers, such as compost and manure, improves soil organic matter (SOM), which is vital for soil fertility and carbon storage. Organic fertilizers enhance microbial activity and soil aggregation, leading to the formation of stable organic matter that can sequester carbon more effectively compared to conventional synthetic fertilizers (Drinkwater et al., 1998). By increasing SOM, organic farming practices contribute to a higher soil carbon stock, which is crucial for mitigating climate change (Lal, 2004). Crop rotation is another important organic farming practice that supports soil health and carbon sequestration. Rotating different types of crops helps to disrupt pest and disease cycles, reduces soil nutrient depletion, and enhances soil structure. This practice increases the diversity of organic inputs into the soil, which promotes the formation of stable organic matter and improves the soil's capacity to store carbon (Mäder et al., 2002). Crop rotation also reduces soil erosion and compaction, further supporting carbon sequestration and overall soil health (Blanco-Canqui & Ruis, 2018).

Cover cropping involves planting crops specifically to cover the soil during periods when main crops are not growing. This practice prevents soil erosion, enhances soil structure, and adds organic matter to the soil, which improves its carbon storage capacity. Cover crops, such as legumes, grasses, and brassicas, contribute to soil health by increasing SOM and reducing soil compaction and erosion (Clark, 2007). They also support soil microbial communities that play a crucial role in organic matter decomposition and carbon sequestration (Gattinger et al., 2012). Organic fertilizers, such as compost and manure, are integral to enhancing soil fertility and structure by enriching the soil with organic matter. This organic matter plays a crucial role in promoting microbial activity and the aggregation of soil particles, which are essential processes for maintaining soil health and function (Drinkwater et al., 1998). The addition of compost and manure introduces a variety of organic compounds into the soil, which are decomposed by soil microorganisms. This decomposition process results in the formation of stable organic matter, or humus, which significantly improves the soil's ability to sequester carbon. Humus enhances soil structure, increases water retention, and provides a stable reservoir of carbon that can remain in the soil for extended periods (Six et al., 2002). In addition to the use of organic fertilizers, practices such as crop rotation and cover cropping are vital for sustaining soil health and enhancing carbon sequestration. Crop rotation involves alternating different types of crops in a specific sequence, which helps to disrupt pest and disease cycles, reduce nutrient depletion, and improve soil structure (Lal, 2004). By diversifying the types of plants grown, crop rotation also contributes to a more varied input of organic matter into the soil, which supports the development of stable organic matter and enhances soil carbon storage (Drinkwater et al., 1998).

Cover cropping, which involves planting crops specifically to cover the soil between main cropping seasons, is another important practice that supports soil health. Cover crops, such as legumes, grasses, and brassicas, help to prevent soil erosion, enhance soil structure, and add organic matter to the soil (Blanco-Canqui & Ruis, 2018). The roots of cover crops help to bind soil particles together, reducing erosion and improving soil stability. Additionally, the organic matter added by cover crops contributes to increased soil organic carbon levels, as the decomposing plant material forms stable organic matter that can sequester carbon in the soil (Gattinger et al., 2012). These organic farming practices collectively contribute to improved soil fertility and increased carbon storage. By promoting microbial activity, enhancing soil structure, and preventing erosion, organic fertilizers, crop rotation, and cover cropping play a crucial role in maintaining soil health and mitigating climate change through carbon sequestration (Lal, 2004; Blanco-Canqui & Ruis, 2018; Six et al., 2002).

Research suggests that organic farming can significantly enhance soil carbon stocks by promoting the formation of stable organic matter and mitigating soil erosion. Organic farming practices, such as the application of organic fertilizers, crop rotation, and cover cropping, contribute to an increase in soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks by improving soil structure and enhancing organic matter inputs (Gattinger et al., 2012). The meta-analysis conducted by Gattinger et al. (2012) indicates that organic farming systems have the potential to sequester between 0.3 to 0.5 tons of carbon per hectare per year. This capacity for carbon sequestration is attributed to the increased accumulation of stable organic matter, which improves soil health and contributes to long-term carbon storage in soils. The sequestration potential of organic farming is influenced by a range of factors, including local climate conditions, soil type, and specific management practices. For example, climate variables such as temperature and precipitation affect the rate of organic matter decomposition and carbon storage (Poeplau & Don, 2015). Soil characteristics, including texture and organic matter content, also play a critical role in determining the amount of carbon that can be sequestered (Lal, 2004). Additionally, the effectiveness of organic farming practices in enhancing soil carbon stocks can vary depending on the specific techniques used and the management strategies employed (Gattinger et al., 2012).

The variability in carbon sequestration potential highlights the importance of considering local conditions when evaluating the effectiveness of organic farming for carbon sequestration.

Geographic and management factors can lead to significant differences in the amount of carbon sequestered, underscoring the need for region-specific research and tailored management practices to optimize the benefits of organic farming for climate change mitigation (Poeplau & Don, 2015). Despite these variations, the overall evidence supports the role of organic farming as a valuable strategy for enhancing soil carbon stocks and contributing to climate change mitigation efforts (Gattinger et al., 2012). However, it is important to note that while organic farming practices contribute to soil carbon sequestration, their effectiveness can vary. Factors such as climate, soil type, and management practices play a significant role in determining the extent of carbon sequestration. Therefore, continued research and adaptation of practices are necessary to optimize the benefits of organic farming for climate change mitigation (Houghton et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2008).

2.3 Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

Organic farming systems are recognized for supporting higher levels of biodiversity compared to conventional farming systems, primarily due to their avoidance of chemical pesticides and synthetic fertilizers, which can negatively impact a wide range of organisms (Bengtsson et al., 2005). The reduced chemical input in organic farming creates a more favorable environment for diverse flora and fauna, as these systems avoid the harmful effects of agrochemicals on non-target species and their habitats (Tuck et al., 2014). One of the key factors contributing to increased biodiversity in organic farming is the incorporation of diverse cropping systems. Organic farms often use a variety of crops and rotations, which helps to create a more complex and heterogeneous habitat compared to monoculture systems typically found in conventional agriculture (Holland et al., 2017). This diversity in cropping systems supports a wider range of beneficial organisms, including insects, birds, and soil microorganisms, which contribute to the overall health and stability of the ecosystem (Bianchi et al., 2006). Organic farms also tend to maintain natural habitats, such as hedgerows, wetlands, and wildflower strips, which serve as refuges for wildlife and contribute to the overall biodiversity of the farm (Kleijn et al., 2006). These natural habitats provide critical resources for various species, including food, shelter, and breeding sites, which are often diminished or absent in conventional farming systems (Gabriel et al., 2010). By preserving and integrating these habitats into agricultural landscapes, organic farming supports a greater diversity of species and enhances ecosystem functions.

Additionally, practices such as crop rotation and polycultures are commonly employed in organic farming systems to further enhance biodiversity. Crop rotation involves alternating different types of crops over successive growing seasons, which helps to break pest and disease cycles and promotes soil health (Lal, 2004). Polycultures, where multiple crops are grown together in the same area, create a more complex plant community that can support a broader array of beneficial organisms compared to monocultures (Altieri, 1999). These practices contribute to a more resilient agricultural system and support higher levels of biodiversity by providing a variety of niches and resources for different species. The absence of synthetic chemicals in organic farming systems fosters the growth of a diverse range of soil microorganisms, which are essential for maintaining soil health and fertility. Unlike conventional systems, where synthetic pesticides and fertilizers can disrupt microbial communities, organic farming practices promote the proliferation of beneficial microorganisms that perform critical functions in the soil (Tuck et al., 2014). These microorganisms contribute to nutrient cycling by breaking down organic matter into plant-available nutrients, thus enhancing soil fertility and supporting plant growth. They also play a key role in forming stable soil structures through the production of extracellular polysaccharides that bind soil particles together, improving soil aeration and water retention (Bender et al., 2016). In addition to supporting soil microorganisms, organic farming systems are conducive to a higher abundance and diversity of pollinators, including bees, butterflies, and other insects. The integration of diverse flowering plants and the avoidance of harmful chemicals create a more hospitable environment for these essential pollinators (Bianchi et al., 2006). Pollinators are critical for the successful reproduction of many crops and wild plants, as they facilitate the transfer of pollen between flowers, leading to fruit and seed production. By promoting biodiversity and reducing chemical exposure, organic farms contribute to healthier and more resilient ecosystems, which benefits both agricultural productivity and environmental sustainability (Kremen et al., 2007).

Furthermore, the presence of diverse plant species in organic systems supports a range of pollinator habitats and resources, which can enhance pollinator health and abundance. This increased diversity of flowering plants not only provides a continuous food source for pollinators but also supports their lifecycle stages, from larvae to adults (Garibaldi et al., 2011). The overall enhancement of pollinator populations in organic farming systems underscores the importance of ecological approaches in sustaining agricultural and natural ecosystems. Organic farming systems are effective at promoting the presence of natural predators and parasitoids, which play a crucial role in controlling pest populations. By avoiding synthetic pesticides and instead fostering diverse habitats, organic farms create environments conducive to the survival and proliferation of beneficial organisms that naturally regulate pest numbers (Letourneau et al., 2011). The provision of diverse habitats, such as hedgerows, wildflower strips, and cover crops, supports a variety of natural enemies, including predatory insects, spiders, and parasitic wasps. These beneficial organisms contribute to integrated pest management by preying on or parasitizing harmful pests that might otherwise damage crops (Landis et al., 2000). For instance, ladybugs and lacewings, common in organic systems, are effective at controlling aphid populations, while parasitic wasps can target a range of pest species including caterpillars and whiteflies (Pattison et al., 2014). In addition to providing habitats, organic farming practices such as crop rotation and intercropping further enhance pest control by disrupting pest life cycles and reducing the establishment of pest populations. For example, rotating crops can break the cycle of pests that are specific to certain crops, while intercropping with non-host plants can repel pests or attract their natural enemies (Altieri, 1999).

The presence of natural predators and parasitoids not only reduces the reliance on chemical pest control measures but also contributes to the overall ecological balance of the farm. This biodiversity supports ecosystem services such as pest regulation, which is essential for sustainable agricultural production and reduces the environmental impact of farming (Letourneau et al., 2011). By enhancing ecological balance and promoting natural pest control, organic farming systems contribute to more resilient and sustainable agricultural practices. In addition to supporting higher levels of biodiversity, organic farming systems contribute to ecosystem resilience. Diverse ecosystems are better able to withstand and recover from disturbances such as extreme weather events, pest outbreaks, and diseases. This resilience is beneficial for maintaining stable and productive agricultural systems over time (Smith et al., 2019).

The enhancement of biodiversity on organic farms plays a significant role in increasing ecosystem resilience, thereby improving the farm's ability to withstand and adapt to climate-related stressors such as droughts and floods. Biodiversity contributes to ecosystem resilience by supporting a variety of functions and services that enhance the capacity of agricultural systems to cope with environmental changes (Tilman et al., 2011). For example, diverse plant and animal communities in organic farms can improve soil health and water retention. Plants with deep and extensive root systems can better access water and nutrients, reducing the impact of drought conditions (Jackson et al., 2010). Similarly, a diverse range of soil microorganisms and organic matter can enhance soil structure and moisture retention, which helps to mitigate the effects of both droughts and heavy rainfall (Lal, 2004). Additionally, the presence of a variety of plant species and natural habitats can help buffer the impacts of extreme weather events by reducing soil erosion and promoting more stable microclimates (Barton et al., 2008). Despite these benefits, quantifying the direct impact of biodiversity on climate change mitigation remains complex and challenging. The effects of biodiversity on climate resilience are often indirect and context-dependent, influenced by factors such as local climate conditions, soil types, and specific management practices (Cardinale et al., 2012). For instance, while higher biodiversity generally supports ecosystem functions, the precise mechanisms through which biodiversity contributes to climate change mitigation can vary widely depending on the specific ecological context of the farm (Hooper et al., 2012). Consequently, while the relationship between biodiversity and climate resilience is well-supported by theoretical and empirical studies, translating these insights into actionable strategies for climate change mitigation requires further research and site-specific assessments.

2.4 Water Use and Conservation

Water scarcity, intensified by the impacts of climate change, represents a major challenge for modern agriculture, affecting both crop yields and broader ecosystem health. As conventional agricultural practices often rely heavily on water-intensive methods, there is a pressing need for alternative approaches that can mitigate water scarcity. Organic farming practices have emerged as a viable solution, offering several benefits that address water-related challenges. A significant advantage of organic farming is its focus on the enhancement of soil organic matter (SOM). SOM is crucial for improving soil structure and increasing water retention capacity. Organic farming practices such as the use of compost, manure, and green manures contribute to the buildup of SOM in the soil. This increase in SOM improves the soil's ability to retain moisture, which reduces the necessity for frequent irrigation and enhances overall water use efficiency (Lal, 2004). For instance, soils with higher SOM content can retain up to 20% more water compared to soils with lower SOM levels, which is particularly beneficial during periods of drought (Six et al., 2004).

Furthermore, organic farming employs practices like crop rotation, cover cropping, and reduced tillage, all of which support soil health and moisture retention. Cover crops, for example, help to create a protective layer over the soil, reducing evaporation and preventing water runoff. This layer also improves soil structure and increases infiltration rates, further enhancing water availability to crops (Teasdale et al., 2007). Similarly, reduced tillage minimizes soil disturbance, which helps to preserve soil structure and SOM, further aiding in moisture retention (Powlson et al., 2014). In addition to these practices, organic farming systems often integrate diverse cropping patterns and perennial plants, which can improve water management. Diversified plantings and perennial crops are better at accessing deeper soil moisture and reducing the risk of soil erosion, both of which contribute to more stable water availability (Davis et al., 2012). Organic farms typically enhance soil organic matter (SOM) through the use of organic inputs such as compost and manure. These inputs contribute to the formation of stable organic matter, which is crucial for improving soil structure and increasing the soil's water-holding capacity. The increase in SOM helps to reduce water runoff and erosion, which are significant issues in conventional farming systems (Tuck et al., 2014).

Compost and manure not only enrich the soil with essential nutrients but also promote the development of a more porous soil structure. This improved structure facilitates better water infiltration and retention, which can be especially beneficial during periods of low rainfall (Drinkwater et al., 1998). The enhanced water-holding capacity of soils with high SOM reduces the need for frequent irrigation and helps maintain soil moisture levels more effectively. In addition to the use of organic inputs, organic farming practices such as cover cropping and mulching are effective strategies for minimizing water evaporation from the soil. Cover crops, including species like clover and vetch, are planted between main crop cycles to create a protective layer over the soil. This layer not only reduces soil temperature and evaporation rates but also contributes additional organic matter to the soil as the cover crops decompose, further improving soil health and moisture retention (Teasdale et al., 2007). Similarly, mulching with organic materials such as straw or wood chips provides a physical barrier that conserves soil moisture by reducing evaporation. Mulch also helps to improve soil structure and reduce soil erosion, contributing to overall soil health and water management (Miller et al., 2011). These mulching practices help to stabilize soil temperature and moisture levels, creating a more favorable environment for plant growth and reducing the need for supplemental irrigation. In addition to practices such as the use of organic inputs, cover cropping, and mulching, organic farming systems often embrace diverse cropping patterns and rotations, which play a significant role in enhancing water use efficiency. Organic farms typically avoid monocultures—cultivation of a single crop over a large area—and instead promote a variety of plant species and cropping systems. This diversity contributes to improved soil health and resilience, which, in turn, leads to more efficient water use.

Diverse cropping patterns, including polycultures and multi-cropping systems, offer several advantages for water management. For instance, planting a variety of crops can lead to more balanced nutrient demands and reduce soil depletion, which supports better soil structure and increased water infiltration (Altieri & Nicholls, 2004). Additionally, diverse root systems from different plant species can enhance soil aeration and water uptake, reducing water stress and improving overall crop productivity (Davis et al., 2012). Crop rotation, another key aspect of organic farming, involves alternating different crops in a sequence over several growing seasons. This practice helps to break pest and disease cycles, reduce the risk of soil erosion, and improve soil nutrient profiles. Crop rotation can also enhance water retention by preventing the buildup of specific soil conditions that could lead to water runoff or erosion. For example, deep-rooted plants can help to improve soil structure and increase the soil's capacity to retain moisture, while shallow-rooted plants may assist in reducing surface runoff (Barton et al., 2009). Furthermore, the integration of cover crops into rotation schemes adds organic matter to the soil, which further enhances its water-holding capacity and reduces the need for irrigation. Cover crops, such as legumes and grasses, also provide ground cover that minimizes evaporation and improves soil moisture levels (Teasdale et al., 2007). By maintaining a continuous cover of vegetation, organic farming systems can mitigate the impacts of water stress and improve overall water use efficiency.

2.5 Reduction of Synthetic Inputs

Reduction of Synthetic Inputs: Impact on Environmental Sustainability and Emission Reductions. The reduction of synthetic inputs, including chemical fertilizers and pesticides, plays a crucial role in enhancing environmental sustainability and mitigating greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions. Organic farming, which minimizes or eliminates the use of these synthetic substances, demonstrates several benefits in this regard.

Environmental Sustainability: By avoiding synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, organic farming systems reduce soil and water contamination risks. Chemical fertilizers often lead to nutrient runoff, contributing to water pollution and eutrophication in aquatic systems (Gomiero et al., 2011). Organic farming practices, such as the use of compost and green manure, enhance soil fertility and structure without the adverse environmental impacts associated with synthetic inputs (Drinkwater et al., 1998). These practices also promote healthier soil ecosystems by supporting diverse microbial communities, which are critical for nutrient cycling and soil health (Tuck et al., 2014).

Emission Reductions: The reduction of synthetic inputs is associated with significant decreases in GHG emissions. Synthetic fertilizers are major sources of nitrous oxide (N_2O), a potent GHG with a global warming potential approximately 300 times that of carbon dioxide (CO_2) (Smith et al., 2014). Organic farming reduces N_2O emissions by relying on organic fertilizers, which release nitrogen more gradually and are less prone to rapid microbial conversion that generates N_2O (Gattinger et al., 2012). Additionally, organic farming practices often lead to reduced overall energy use, as they eliminate the energy-intensive production and application of synthetic inputs (Reganold & Wachter, 2016). This reduction in energy consumption further contributes to lower CO_2 emissions associated with agricultural practices.

3. Methodology

This research employs a critical review methodology to comprehensively assess organic farming as a climate mitigation strategy. The review synthesizes data from a range of sources, including peer-reviewed scientific journals, reports from international organizations (such as the Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO] and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [IPCC]), and empirical studies. The analysis is structured around four primary focus areas: greenhouse gas

(GHG) emissions, carbon sequestration, biodiversity, and water use. Additionally, yield performance and economic viability are examined to evaluate the scalability and practical implementation of organic farming.

The methodology involves a comparative analysis of both conventional and organic farming practices. This analysis highlights key differences in environmental impact, productivity, and economic feasibility. By contrasting these practices, the research aims to provide a balanced assessment of the strengths and limitations of organic farming as a viable strategy for mitigating climate change. This approach ensures a comprehensive evaluation of how organic farming can contribute to environmental sustainability and its potential role in broader climate mitigation efforts.

4. Findings

4.1 Strengths of Organic Farming

- **Reduced Greenhouse Gas Emissions**: Organic farming systems generally exhibit lower nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions compared to conventional practices. This reduction is primarily attributed to the use of organic fertilizers and natural soil management methods. Additionally, organic farming often leads to lower overall carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions due to decreased energy consumption associated with synthetic inputs (Gattinger et al., 2012).
- **Enhanced Soil Carbon Sequestration**: The incorporation of organic fertilizers and compost in organic farming enhances soil organic matter. This improvement in soil structure facilitates greater carbon sequestration, effectively mitigating atmospheric CO_2 levels. Organic farming practices contribute to the formation of stable organic matter, which supports long-term carbon storage in soils (Lal, 2004).
- **Increased Biodiversity**: Organic farming systems support higher levels of biodiversity by avoiding synthetic chemicals and fostering diverse cropping patterns. This biodiversity enhances ecosystem services such as pollination, pest control, and nutrient cycling, which contribute to the overall resilience of agricultural ecosystems to climate-related stressors (Bengtsson et al., 2005; Letourneau et al., 2011).
- **Improved Water Conservation**: Organic farming practices, including the use of cover crops, mulch, and diverse cropping systems, enhance soil water retention and reduce the need for irrigation. By maintaining higher levels of soil organic matter and employing techniques that minimize water evaporation, organic farms contribute to more efficient water use and improved climate adaptation, particularly in regions experiencing water scarcity (Teasdale et al., 2007; Tuck et al., 2014).

4.2 Limitations and Challenges

- **Lower Yields**: Organic farming often yields less produce compared to conventional systems, particularly in large-scale and high-demand food production contexts. This limitation raises concerns about the ability of organic farming to fulfill global food security needs as the world's population continues to grow (Reganold & Wachter, 2016).

- **Scalability**: The labor-intensive nature of organic farming poses challenges for scaling up operations, particularly in regions with limited labor availability. Furthermore, the costs associated with organic certification and adherence to organic practices can be prohibitive for smallholder farmers, limiting broader adoption (Bengtsson et al., 2005).
- **Economic Viability**: The higher costs of organic inputs and certification, coupled with typically lower yields, can impact the economic viability of organic farming. This economic challenge is particularly acute in developing countries, where financial constraints and lack of policy support or market incentives can hinder the widespread adoption of organic farming practices (Miller et al., 2011).

5. Discussion

The findings indicate that organic farming offers considerable promise as a climate change mitigation strategy. Its advantages include reduced greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, enhanced biodiversity, and improved soil health, all of which contribute positively to environmental sustainability. Organic farming's emphasis on lower GHG emissions arises from its use of organic fertilizers and reduced reliance on synthetic inputs, while its practices foster biodiversity and improve soil carbon sequestration, further supporting climate resilience.

Nonetheless, several challenges must be addressed for organic farming to realize its full potential as a mainstream climate mitigation strategy. Notably, organic farming often yields less produce compared to conventional systems, which could impact its ability to contribute to global food security. Additionally, the scalability of organic practices is limited by their labor-intensive nature and the high costs associated with organic certification and inputs.

To overcome these challenges, integrating organic practices with precision agriculture technologies could be a viable solution. Precision agriculture can enhance productivity by optimizing resource use while preserving the environmental benefits of organic farming. Furthermore, to support the broader adoption of organic farming, governments and international organizations should implement financial incentives, invest in research, and provide educational programs for farmers. These measures can help address the economic and scalability issues, thereby facilitating the transition to organic farming as a viable and effective climate mitigation strategy.

6. Conclusion

Organic farming presents a promising strategy for mitigating climate change, emphasizing sustainability, biodiversity, and soil health. Its potential benefits include reduced greenhouse gas emissions, enhanced carbon sequestration, and improved ecosystem resilience. However, significant challenges remain, such as lower yields and economic viability, which hinder its widespread adoption. To fully realize the potential of organic farming, a collaborative effort among policymakers, researchers, and farmers is essential. Addressing these challenges through targeted policies, financial incentives, and research support is crucial for promoting organic farming as a viable component of broader climate strategies. Future research should prioritize the development of hybrid systems that integrate the strengths of both organic and conventional farming. Such approaches could enhance productivity while ensuring environmental sustainability, thereby contributing more effectively to climate change mitigation efforts.

7. References

Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries: Perspective, Framework and Priorities. FAO, Rome, Italy. Organic Trade Association. COVID-19 will Shape Organic Industry in 2020 after Banner Year in 2019. 2020. Available online: https://ota.com/news/press-releases/21328 (accessed on 25 July 2020).

Barthe`s, B., Azontonde, A., Blanchart, E., Girardin, C.,Villenave, C., Lesaint, S., Oliver, R., and Feller, C. 2004.Effect of a legume cover crop (Mucuna proriensvar.utilis)on soil carbon in an Ultisol under maize cultivation in southern Benin. Soil Use and Management 20:231–239.

DANILA, A. M., FERNANDEZ, R., NTEMIRI, S., MANDL, N. & RIGLER, E. 2016. Annual European Union greenhouse gas inventory 1990–2014 and inventory report 2016: Submission to the UNFCCC Secretariat. EEA Report No 15/2016. European Commission,

DEIKE, S., PALLUTT, B. & CHRISTEN, O. 2008. Investigations on the energy efficiency of organic and integrated farming with specific emphasis on pesticide use intensity. European Journal of Agronomy, 28, 461-470.

DG Climate Action, European Environment Agency, Brussels. DE PONTI, T., RIJK, B. & VAN ITTERSUM, M. K. 2012. The crop yield gap between organic and conventional agriculture. Agricultural Systems, 108, 1-9.

DURMIC, Z., MOATE, P., ECKARD, R., REVELL, D., WILLIAMS, R., VERCOE, P. 2014, In vitro screening of selected feed additives, plant essential oils and plant extracts for rumen methane mitigation, Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 94(6): 1191-1196.

East African Community. 2007. East African Organic Products Standard. East African Community, Arusha, Tanzania.

EEA 2016. Annual European Union greenhouse gas inventory 1990–2014 and inventory report 2016. European Environmental Agency EEA.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION 2016e. Impact Assessment accompanying the document on the inclusion of greenhouse gas emissions and removals from land use, land use change and forestry into the 2030 climate and energy framework and amending Regulation No 525/2013 of the European Parliament and the Council on a mechanism for monitoring and reporting greenhouse gas emissions and other information relevant to climate change.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION. 2016a. The CAP: direct support "greening" [Online]. European Union, Brussels. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/agriculture/direct-support/greening/index_en.htm (Accessed 30/06/2016).

EUROPEAN COMMISSION. 2016b. Climate action: Climate strategies & targets [Online]. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/strategies/index_en.htm (Accessed 30/06/2016).

EUROPEAN COMMISSION. 2016c. Effort Sharing Decision [Online]. European Union, Brussels. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/effort/index_en.htm (Accessed 30/06/2016).

EUROPEAN COMMISSION. 2016d. The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) [Online]. European Union, Brussels. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/clima/policies/ets/index_en.htm (Accessed 30/06/2016).

Fliessbach, A., Oberholzer, H.-R., Gunst, L., and Ma¨der, P.2007. Soil organic matter and biological soil quality indicators after 21 years of organic and conventional farming. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 118:273–284.

Freibauer, A., Rounsevell, M.D.A., Smith, P., and Verhagen, J. 2004. Carbon sequestration in the agricultural soils of Europe. Geoderma 122:1–23.

Globalisierung 9. Wissenschaftstagung Ökologischer Landbau, Universität Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Deutschland, 20-23.03.2007. Archived at http://orgprints.org/9654/

Gomiero, T.; Pimentel, D.; Paoletti, M.G. Environmental impact of different agricultural management practices: Conventional vs. organic agriculture. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.2011, 30, 95–124. [CrossRef]

Hepperly, P., Douds, D. Jr, and Seidel, R. 2006. The Rodale farming system trial 1981–2005: long term analysis of organic and conventional maize and soybean cropping systems.

In J. Raupp, C. Pekrun, M. Oltmanns, and U. Kopke (eds). Long-Term Field Experiments in Organic Farming. International Society of Organic Agricultural Research (ISOFAR), Bonn, Germany. p. 15–32.

International Federation of Organic Agricultural Movements (IFOAM). 2002. Basic Standards for Organic Production and Processing Approved by the IFOAM General Assembly, Victoria, Canada, August 2002.

International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM) (2006): The IFOAM Basic Standards for Organic Production and Processing. Version 2005. IFOAM, Bonn, Germany.

Kotschi, J., Müller-Sämann, K. (2004): The Role of Organic Agriculture in Mitigating Climate Change. International Federation of Organic Agriculture Movements (IFOAM), Bonn.

Ku¨stermann, B., Kainz, M., and Hu¨lsbergen, K.-J. 2008. Modelling carbon cycles and estimation of greenhouse gas emissions from organic and conventional farming systems. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems 23:38–52.

Küstermann, B., Wenske, K. and Hülsbergen, K.-J. (2007): Modellierung betrieblicher C- und N-Flüsse als Grundlage einer Emissionsinventur [Modelling carbon and nitrogen fluxes for a farm based emissions inventory]. Paper presented at Zwischen Tradition und

Lal, R. (2004): Soil Carbon Sequestration Impacts on Global Climate Change and Food Security. Science 11 June 2004, Vol. 304. no. 5677, pp. 1623 – 1627.

Lotter, D., Seidel, R. & Liebhardt, W. (2003): The Performance of Organic and Conventional Cropping Systems in an Extreme Climate Year. American Journal of Alternative Agriculture 18(3): 146-154.

Mäder, P., Fließbach, A., Dubois, D., Gunst, L., Fried, P., Niggli, U. (2002): Soil fertility and biodiversity in organic farming. Science 296, S.16941697.

Marriott, E.E. and Wander, M.M. (2006): Total and Labile Soil Organic Matter in Organic and Conventional Farming Systems. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 70, 950-959.

McCarthy, J. et al. (2001): Climate Change 2001: Impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA Obtainable at: http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg2/index.htm

Nemecek, T., HugueninElie, O., Dubois, D., Gaillard, G. (2005): Ökobilanzierung von Anbausystemen im Schweizerischen Acker- und Futterbau. Schriftenreihe der FAL 58. FAL Reckenholz, Zürich.

Niggli, U., Fliessbach, A., Hepperly, P., and Scialabba, N.2009. Low Greenhouse Gas Agriculture: Mitigation and Adaptation Potential of Sustainable Farming Systems. FAO, Rome, Italy. Available at Web site ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/010/ai781e/ai781e00.pdf (verified 15 October 200

Offernmann, F. and Nieberg, H. (2000): Economic Performance of Organic Farms in Europe. Organic Farming in Europe: Economics and Policy, Vol.5. StuttgartHohenheim: University of Hohenheim.

Öko-Institut (2007): Arbeitspapier: Treibhausgasemissionen durch Erzeugung und Verarbeitung von Lebensmitteln. Authors: Fritsche U. and Eberle U. Öko-Institut Darmstadt. Download at the ÖkoInstitut Homepage at http://www.oeko.de/oekodoc/328/2007-011-de.

Olesen, J.E., Schelde, K., Weiske, A., Weisbjerg, M.R., Asman, W.A.H., Djurhuus, J., (2006): Modelling greenhouse gas emissions from European conventional and organic dairy farms. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 112, pp.20722.

Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Douds, D., and Seidel, R. 2005. Environmental, energetic and economic comparison of organic and conventional farming systems. Bioscience55:573–582.

Pimentel, D., Hepperly, P., Hanson, J., Douds, D., and Seidel, R. 2005. Environmental, energetic and economic comparison of organic and conventional farming systems. Bioscience55:573–582.

Secretariat of the Pacific Community. 2008. Pacific Organic Standard. Prepared for publication on behalf of the Regional Organic Task Force at the Secretariat of the Pacific Community's headquarters, Noumea, New Caledonia.

Stolze, M., Piorr, A., Haring, A., and Dabbert, S. 2000. The environmental impacts of organic farming in Europe.
In Organic Farming in Europe: Economics and Policy. Volume6. University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany.